

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

WILLIAM LONG HALE,
a/k/a Haji Mohammed,

Civil 08-5124 (PJS/FLN)

Plaintiff,

v.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TSA AGENT BRUCE, DENVER, and
THE DIRECTOR OF TSA,

Defendants.

Plaintiff commenced this action by filing a complaint and an application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ("IFP"). The Court examined Plaintiff's IFP application, and determined that he had not adequately demonstrated that he is indigent and unable to pay the filing fee prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). The IFP application was therefore denied. (See Order dated September 11, 2008; [Docket No. 3].)

The order denying Plaintiff's IFP application informed him that he could still maintain this action, as a NON-IFP litigant, if he paid the statutory filing fee within twenty (20) days. Plaintiff was advised that if he did not pay the full filing fee within the time allowed, the Court would recommend that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The deadline for paying the filing fee, as set by the Court's prior order, has now expired. However, Plaintiff has not paid the required fee, and he has offered no excuse for his failure to do so. Indeed, Plaintiff has not communicated with the Court at all since he filed his complaint and IFP application. Therefore, it is now recommended, in accordance

with the Court's prior order, that Plaintiff be deemed to have abandoned this action, and that the action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). See Henderson v. Renaissance Grand Hotel, 267 Fed.Appx. 496, 497 (8th Cir. 2008) (unpublished opinion) ("[a] district court has discretion to dismiss an action under Rule 41(b) for a plaintiff's failure to prosecute, or to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any court order"); see also Link v. Wabash Railroad Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) (recognizing that a federal court has the inherent authority to "manage [its] own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases").

Based upon the above, and upon all the records and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

This action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

Dated: October 8, 2008

s/ Franklin L. Noel
FRANKLIN L. NOEL
United States Magistrate Judge

Pursuant to the Local Rules, any party may object to this Report and Recommendation by filing with the Clerk of Court and serving on all parties, on or before **October 28, 2008**, written objections which specifically identify the portions of the proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is being made, and a brief in support thereof. A party may respond to the objecting party's brief within ten days after service thereof. All briefs filed under the rules shall be limited to 3500 words. A judge shall make a de novo determination of those portions to which objection is made. This Report and Recommendation does not constitute an order or judgment of the District Court, and it is, therefore, not appealable to the Circuit Court of Appeals.